

EXHIBIT MM

Inciardi, Scott P.

From: Ridley, Eileen R.
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 4:59 PM
To: 'Bruce Harland'
Cc: Arnold, Laurence R.; Inciardi, Scott P.; Kunisaki, Kristy
Subject: RE: SEIU v. Stanford Cases

From the Desk of: Eileen R. Ridley

FOLEY**FOLEY & LARDNER LLP**[My Location](#)[My V-card](#)[My Bio](#)www.foley.com

Mr. Harland:

I have yet to hear from you regarding the matters discussed below. As the Court has set a date for filing dispositive motions for July 18, 2008 and we have not resolved our pending discovery disputes, will you stipulate to a continuance of the July 18, 2008 deadline? Please provide your response to this question and the issues raised below by Monday, June 30, 2008. Thank you

Eileen

 INFO

From: Ridley, Eileen R.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 11:20 AM
To: Bruce Harland
Cc: Arnold, Laurence R.; Inciardi, Scott P.; Kunisaki, Kristy
Subject: SEIU v. Stanford Cases

Mr. Harland:

I have not yet received a response to my letter of June 23, 2008 regarding the above cases and the depositions of Mr. Smith and Ms. Escamilla. We understand that you are refusing to produce Mr. Smith for his deposition tomorrow. Please immediately provide alternative dates for Mr. Smith and Ms. Escamilla as these depositions must be completed soon given July 18th deadline to file dispositive motions. We would prefer not to have to seek Court intervention in this matter and look forward to resolving it soon. However, we are prepared to file a motion to compel these depositions if we cannot reach an agreement with you. We look forward to your response.

7/2/2008

In the meantime, we have received the responses to my clients' request for production/subpoenas from your clients and believe they are insufficient and incomplete. We will address those issues by separate correspondence. However, the responses specifically include objections based upon privilege but no privilege log was provided. Please provide that log immediately. Thank you.

Eileen

7/2/2008